A mathematical model for nonsmooth algorithmic differentiation with applications to machine learning

EDOUARD PAUWELS (IRIT, TOULOUSE 3) joint work with JÉRÔME BOLTE (TSE, TOULOUSE 1)

Séminaire du CAS MinesParistech

Novembre 2020







Motivation: Chain rule of differentiation is widely used outside of its domain of validity. Ex: algorithmic differentiation for deep learning with nonsmooth components.

Differential calculus rules applied to subgradients do not provide subgradients in general.

Contribution: Conservative set valued fields. Analytic, geometric and algorithmic properties.

Algorithmic differentiation (AD, 70s):

Automatized numerical implementation of the chain rule:

$$\begin{split} & H \colon \mathbb{R}^{p} \mapsto \mathbb{R}^{p}, \quad G \colon \mathbb{R}^{p} \mapsto \mathbb{R}^{p}, \quad f \colon \mathbb{R}^{p} \to \mathbb{R}, \qquad \text{(differentiable)}. \\ & f \circ G \circ H \colon \mathbb{R}^{p} \mapsto \mathbb{R}. \\ & \nabla (f \circ G \circ H)^{T} = \nabla f^{T} \times \operatorname{Jac}_{G} \times \operatorname{Jac}_{H} \end{split}$$

Function = program: composition of smooth functions.

 $x \mapsto (H(x), G(H(x)), f(G(H(x))))$

Forward mode of AD: $\nabla f^T \times (\operatorname{Jac}_G \times \operatorname{Jac}_H)$. Backward mode of AD: $(\nabla f^T \times \operatorname{Jac}_G) \times \operatorname{Jac}_H$.

Backpropagation: Backward AD for neural network training.

It computes gradient (provided that everybody is smooth).

Neural network / compositional modeling



Training set: $\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i=1}^n$ in $\mathbb{R}^p \times \mathbb{R}^{p_L}$, $\log \ell \colon \mathbb{R}^{p_L} \times \mathbb{R}^{p_L} \to \mathbb{R}_+$.

$$\min_{\theta} \qquad J(\theta) \quad := \quad \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \ell(F_{\theta}(x_i), y_i) \quad = \quad \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n J_i(\theta).$$

Stochastic (minibatch) gradient algorithm: Given $(I_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ iid, uniform on $\{1, \ldots, n\}$, $(\alpha_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ positive, iterate,

$$\theta_{k+1} = \theta_k - \alpha_k \nabla J_{l_k}(\theta_k).$$

Backpropagation: Backward mode of algorithmic differentiation used to compute ∇J_i

Profusion of numerical tools: *e.g.* Tensorflow, Pytorch. Democratized the usage of these models. Goes beyond neural nets (differentiable programming).

Nonsmooth activations

Positive part: $relu(t) = max\{0, t\},\$



Less straightforward examples:

- Max pooling in convolutional networks.
- knn grouping layers, farthest point subsampling layers. Qi *et. al.* 2017. PointNet++: Deep Hierarchical Feature Learning on point Sets in a Metric Space.
- Sorting layers.

Anil et. al. 2019. Sorting Out Lipschitz Function Approximation. ICML.

Nonsmooth backpropagation

Set $\operatorname{relu}'(0) = 0$ and implement the chain rule of smooth calculus.

$$(f \circ g)' = g' \times f' \circ g.$$

Tensorflow examples:



AD acts on programs, not on functions

relu2(t) = relu(-t) + t = relu(t)
relu3(t) =
$$\frac{1}{2}$$
(relu(t) + relu2(t)) = relu(t).



Known from AD litterature (e.g. Griewank 2008, Kakade & Lee 2018).

$$\operatorname{zero}(t) = \operatorname{relu}(t) - \operatorname{relu}(t) = 0.$$



AD acts on programs, not on functions

Derivative of sine at 0:

sin' = cos.



No convexity, no calculus:

$$\partial(f+g)\subset \partial f+\partial g.$$

Minibatch + **subgradient:** locally Lipschitz, convex, no sum rule, algorithmic differentiation.

$$\begin{split} J(\theta) &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} J_i(\theta) \\ v_i &\in \quad \partial \text{backprop } J_i(\theta) \neq \partial J_i, \quad i = 1, \dots n, \\ \mathbb{E}_I[v_I] &\notin \in \quad \partial J(\theta), \qquad I \quad \text{uniform on } \{1, \dots, n\} \,, \end{split}$$

Meaning of the chain rule?

Non uniqueness: Different programs may implement the same function. No qualification / transverality condition: not a Jacobian of any known sort.



1. Conservative set valued field

2. Properties of conservative fields

3. Consequences for deep learning

Linear operator:

derivative:
$$C^1(\mathbb{R}) \mapsto C^0(\mathbb{R})$$

 $f \mapsto f'$

Notions of subgradients inherited from calculus of variation follow the "operator" view.

Assume that ∂^{A} is a subgradient on univariate Lipschitz functions satisfying

- $0 \in \partial^A \operatorname{relu}(0)$.
- sum rule, commutes with translations and multiplication by constants.

Then $\partial^A f(x) = \mathbb{R}$ for any Lipschitz f and any $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Linear operator:

derivative:
$$C^1(\mathbb{R}) \mapsto C^0(\mathbb{R})$$

 $f \mapsto f'$

Notions of subgradients inherited from calculus of variation follow the "operator" view.

Lebesgue differentiation theorem: If $f : \mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is integrable, then

$$F: x \mapsto \int_{-\infty}^{x} f(t) dt$$

is differentiable for almost all x, with F'(x) = f(x) (F is absolutely continuous).

Linear map versus relation / equivalence class in L^1 .

Absolutely continuous path (AC): $\gamma \colon [0,1] \mapsto \mathbb{R}^{p}$ is called absolutely continuous if

• γ is differentiable almost everywhere with integrable derivative $\gamma' \colon [0,1] \mapsto \mathbb{R}^{p}$.

•
$$\gamma(t) - \gamma(0) = \int_0^t \gamma'(s) ds$$
, for all $t \in [0, 1]$.

Set valued field: $D: \mathbb{R}^{p} \rightrightarrows \mathbb{R}^{q}$ is a function from \mathbb{R}^{p} to the set of subsets of \mathbb{R}^{q} .

- ∂f , the subgradient of a convex function f.
- $\partial^{c} f$, the Clarke subgradient of a locally Lipschitz function f

$$\partial^c f(x) = \operatorname{conv}\left\{v \in \mathbb{R}^p, \, \exists y_k \underset{k \to \infty}{\rightarrow} x \text{ with } y_k \in R, \, v_k = \nabla f(y_k) \underset{k \to \infty}{\rightarrow} v
ight\}.$$

where R is the (full measure set) where f is differentiable.

Closed graph: a notion of continuity for D

$$\mathrm{graph}\, D=\{(x,z),\, x\in \mathbb{R}^p,\, z\in D(x)\}\subset \mathbb{R}^{p+q},$$

If $v_k \in D(x_k)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\lim_{k\to\infty} v_k \in D(\lim_{k\to\infty} x_k)$ (provided limits exist).

Conservative set valued fields

 $D \colon \mathbb{R}^{p} \rightrightarrows \mathbb{R}^{p}$, set valued, closed graph, non empty values, locally bounded. **Conservative field:** For any AC loop $\gamma \colon [0,1] \mapsto \mathbb{R}^{p}$, $\gamma(0) = \gamma(1)$,

$$\int_0^1 \max_{v \in D(\gamma(t))} \langle \dot{\gamma}(t), v \rangle \, dt = \int_0^1 \min_{v \in D(\gamma(t))} \langle \dot{\gamma}(t), v \rangle \, dt = 0$$

Lebsegue integral.

Equivalent forms: set valued (Aumann) integral.

Continuous vector field: null circulation, Poincaré



Potential: $D: \mathbb{R}^{p} \rightrightarrows \mathbb{R}^{p}$ a conservative field. Define $f: \mathbb{R}^{p} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$,

$$f(x) = f(0) + \int_0^1 \max_{v \in D(\gamma(t))} \langle \dot{\gamma}(t), v \rangle dt$$

where $\gamma: [0,1] \mapsto \mathbb{R}^{\rho}$ is any AC path with $\gamma(0) = 0$, $\gamma(1) = x$. *f* is well and uniquely defined up to a constant, Locally Lipschitz.

- f is a potential for D.
- D is a conservative field for f.

Equivalent forms: With min selection, any measurable selection or set valued integral.

- $f C^1$: { ∇f } is conservative for f (not unique).
- f convex locally Lipschitz: ∂f is conservative for f.
- Not all locally Lipschitz *f* admit a conservative field (they generically don't: Borwein, Moors, Xianfu).

Lemma: The following are equivalent

- $D: \mathbb{R}^{p} \rightrightarrows \mathbb{R}^{p}$ is conservative for $f: \mathbb{R}^{p} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$.
- For any AC $\gamma \colon [0,1] \mapsto \mathbb{R}^p$

$$rac{d}{dt}f(\gamma(t))=\langle v,\dot{\gamma}(t)
angle \qquad orall v\in D(\gamma(t)), \qquad ext{a.e.} \quad t\in [0,1].$$

Affine span of $D(\gamma(t))$ is "orthogonal" to $\dot{\gamma}$ for almost all t and any γ .

Theorem: If f is locally Lipschitz and tame then $\partial^c f$ is conservative for f. [Davis *et.* al. (2019)].

• Central for Lyapunov analysis of stochastic approximation strategies (minibatch).

Illustration



1. Conservative set valued field

2. Properties of conservative fields

3. Consequences for deep learning

Let $D \colon \mathbb{R}^p \rightrightarrows \mathbb{R}^p$ be a conservative field for $f \colon \mathbb{R}^p \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ (implicitely, f admits a conservative field).

Gradient almost everywhere: $D = \{\nabla f\}$ Lebesgue almost everywhere.

Consequence: $\partial^c f$ is conservative for f, and for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^p$,

 $\partial^{c} f(x) \subset \operatorname{conv}(D(x)).$

Fermat rule: $0 \in \operatorname{conv}(D)$ for local minima.

Remark: Conservativity is much stronger than "gradient almost everywhere".

Take $f = \|\cdot\|^2$ and set $D = \{\nabla f\}$ and $D = \{\nabla f, 0\}$ on a segment [x, y], D is compact valued with closed graph, gradient almost everywhere but not conservative.

Compatibility with calculus rules:

Linear combination of conservative fields is conservative Composition of conservative Jacobian is conservative.

Sum rule: Let f_1, \ldots, f_n be locally Lipschitz continuous functions and D_1, \ldots, D_n respective conservative fields. Then $D = \sum_{i=1}^n D_i$ is conservative for $f = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i$.

Consequence for AD (informal): A program is a composition of Locally Lipschitz maps.

AD with conservative fields in place of gradients, output a conservative field for the implemented function.

1. Conservative set valued field

2. Properties of conservative fields

3. Consequences for deep learning

Training: Given $\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i=1}^n$ in $\mathbb{R}^p \times \mathbb{R}^{p_L}$ and a loss $\ell \colon \mathbb{R}^{p_L} \times \mathbb{R}^{p_L} \to \mathbb{R}_+$.

$$\min_{\theta} \qquad J(\theta) \quad := \quad \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \ell(F_{\theta}(x_i), y_i) \quad = \quad \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n J_i(\theta).$$

Assumption: Activation functions defining F_{θ} and ℓ (all nonlinearities) are

- Locally Lipschitz.
- Defined piecewise (finitely many pieces).
- Expressed with, polynomials, quotients, exponential, logarithms.

Tameness: Then J is locally Lipschitz and "tame", *i.e.* definable in an o-minimal structure [Dries-Miller 1996].

This structure contains all semi-algebraic sets and the graph of the exponential function [Wilkie (1996)].

Nonsmooth backpropagation:

- Consider $J \colon \mathbb{R}^{p} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ the empirical loss.
- First order mapping,
 backprop J_i with subgradients in place of derivatives (relu'(0) = 0).

• Set
$$D = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \text{backprop } J_i$$
.

• Set $\operatorname{crit}_J = \{ \theta \in \mathbb{R}^p, \quad 0 \in \operatorname{conv}(D(\theta)) \}.$

Then:

Conservativity: D is conservative for J.

$$\{J(heta_2)-J(heta_1)\}=\int_0^1 \left\langle D((1-t) heta_1+t heta_2), heta_2- heta_1
ight
angle \,dt,$$

Gradient: $D = \{\nabla J\}$ except on a finite union of smooth manifolds of dimension < p.

Morse-Sard: The set of critical values is finite.

$$J(\operatorname{crit}_J) = \{J(\theta), \quad 0 \in \operatorname{conv}(D(\theta))\}$$

KL inequality: There is a Kurdyka-Łojasiewicz inequality for D and J.

Tame characterization: stratification, variational projection

[Bolte-Daniilidis-Lewis (2007)] **Example:** Projection formula $f(x_1, x_2) = |x_1| + |x_2|$. $X_{\mathbf{x}}: x_1 = 0, x_2 > 0$ $||x||_1$ $= x_2$ $X_4: x_1 < 0, x_2 > 0$ $X_1: x_1 > 0, x_2 > 0$ $X_5: x_1 > 0, x_2 = 0$ $X_7: x_1 < 0, x_2 = 0$ $||x||_1 = x_1$ $||x||_1 = -x_1$ $X_9: x_1 = 0, x_2 = 0$ $||x||_1 = 0$ $\operatorname{proj}_T(\partial f) = \operatorname{grad} f$ $X_2: x_1 > 0, x_2 < 0$ $X_3: x_1 < 0, x_2 < 0$ $X_6: x_1 = 0, x_2 < 0$ $||x||_1 = -x_2$ 1-1

-0.5

28 / 30

Minibatch stochastic approximation: Given $(I_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ iid, uniform on $\{1, \ldots, n\}$, $(\alpha_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ positive, iterate,

$$\theta_{k+1} \in \theta_k - \alpha_k \text{backprop } J_{I_k}(\theta_k)$$

Convergence: Assume

- $\sum_k \alpha_k = +\infty$ and $\alpha_k = o(1/\log(k))$.
- $\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|\theta_k\| < +\infty$ with positive probability (call this event *E*).

Set, $\Theta \subset \mathbb{R}^{p}$, the set of accumulation points of $(\theta_{k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$. Then, almost surely on E, $\emptyset \neq \Theta \subset \operatorname{crit}_{J}$ and J is constant on Θ . **Spurious critical points:**



With proper randomization of the initialization, elements of $\boldsymbol{\Theta}$ are Clarke critical.

Lyapunov analysis, differential inclusion approach [Benaim-Hofbauer-Sorin (2005)].

- Conservativity: chain rule along AC curves.
- Tameness: Morse-Sard theorem.



A mathematical model for nonsmooth algorithmic differentiation.

• Algorithms: Nonsmooth AD + minibatching deep nets \sim smooth case.



Bolte J., Pauwels E. (2020).

Conservative set valued fields, automatic differentiation, stochastic gradient methods and deep learning.

Mathematical Programming.

Bolte J., Pauwels E. (2020).

A mathematical model for automatic differentiation in machine learning. Conference on Neural Information Processing systems.



Castera C., Bolte J., Févotte C., Pauwels E. (2019). An Inertial Newton Algorithm for Deep Learning. arXiv preprint arXiv:1905.12278.

Subgradients: $F : \mathbb{R}^p \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ Lipschitz continuous

F convex: global lower affine tangent

$$F(y) \ge F(x) + \nabla F(x)^T (y - x), \, \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^p \quad \text{if } F \text{ is differentiable at } x$$

$$\partial_{\text{conv}} F(x) = \left\{ v \in \mathbb{R}^p, \, F(y) \ge F(x) + v^T (y - x), \, \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^p \right\}.$$



Example: $F : x \mapsto |x|$.

$$\partial_{\mathrm{conv}} F(0) = egin{cases} -1 & ext{if } x < 0 \ 1 & ext{if } x > 0 \ . \ [-1,1] & ext{if } x = 0 \end{cases}$$

F general: Rademacher, the set $R \subset \mathbb{R}^p$ where *F* is differentiable has full measure.

Sequential closure: limits of neighboring gadients.

$$\partial_{\mathrm{cl}}F(x) = \left\{ v \in \mathbb{R}^p, \, \exists \left(y_k, v_k\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}, \, y_k \underset{k \to \infty}{
ightarrow} x, \, v_k \underset{k \to \infty}{
ightarrow} v, \, y_k \in R, \, v_k =
abla F(y_k), k \in \mathbb{N}
ight\}.$$

Clarke subgradient: convex closure.

$$\partial_{\mathrm{Clarke}}F(x) = \mathrm{conv}(\partial_{\mathrm{cl}}F(x)).$$

Example: $F : x \mapsto |x|$.

$$\partial_{\text{conv}} F(0) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } x < 0\\ 1 & \text{if } x > 0\\ [-1,1] & \text{if } x = 0 \end{cases}$$

No convexity, no calculus:

 $\partial(f+g)\subset \partial f+\partial g.$

- holds with equality if f and g are continuously differentiable.
- holds with equality if f and g are convex (full domain).

• does not hold in general: $f: x \mapsto |x|$

$$\partial(f - f) = \partial(x \mapsto 0) = \{0\}$$

$$\subset \quad \partial(f) + \partial(-f)$$

$$= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x < 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } x > 0 \\ [-2, 2] & \text{if } x = 0 \end{cases}$$