Modeling and Control of Quantum Systems

Mazyar Mirrahimi Pierre Rouchon

mazyar.mirrahimi@inria.fr pierre.rouchon@ensmp.fr

http://cas.ensmp.fr/~rouchon/QuantumSyst/index.html

Lecture 8: December 14th, 2010

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Outline

1 Chip-scale Atomic clock

- The NIST MicroClock
- The principle: Coherent Population Trapping
- The system and its synchronization scheme

2 Convergence analysis

- The open-loop stochastic differential equation
- The closed-loop stochastic differential system

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

Sketch of convergence proof

3 Conclusion of the course

The NIST MicroClock¹



- Quartz crystal clocks: 1 second over few days.
- NIST chip-scale atomic clock: 1 second over 300 years
- High-Perf. atomic clocks: 1 second over 100 million years.

¹NIST: National Institute of Standards and Technology, web-site: http://tf.nist.gov/timefreq/index.html.cov/downards are sold and sold are sold a

The principle: Coherent Population Trapping²



²From the web-site: http://tf.nist.gov/timefreq/index.html _ ____

The synchronization via extremum seeking

feedback (with ω , a, $\sqrt{k} \ll \omega_{atom}$):

$$\frac{d}{dt}v(t) = -k\sin(\omega t) \ f\left(\underbrace{v(t) + a\sin(\omega t)}_{u}\right)$$

This lecture describes a real-time synchronization scheme when the atomic cloud is replaced by a single atom³. ³M-R, SIAM J. Control and Optimization, 2009.

The system and its synchronization scheme



Input: $\tilde{\Omega}_1, \tilde{\Omega}_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ and $u = \frac{d}{dt}\Delta$. Output: photo-detector click times corresponding to stochastic jumps from $|e\rangle$ to $|g_1\rangle$ or $|g_2\rangle$.

Synchronization goal: stabilize the unknown detuning Δ to 0. Two time-scales: $|\tilde{\Omega}_1|, |\tilde{\Omega}_2|, |\Delta_e|, |\Delta| \ll \Gamma_1, \Gamma_2$

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{Modulation of Rabi complex amplitudes } \tilde{\Omega}_1 \text{ and } \tilde{\Omega}_2 \text{:} \\ \tilde{\Omega}_1(t) = \Omega_1 - \imath \epsilon \Omega_2 \cos(\omega t), \quad \tilde{\Omega}_2(t) = \imath \epsilon \Omega_1 \cos(\omega t) + \Omega_2, \\ \text{with } \Omega_1, \Omega_2 > 0 \text{ constant, } \omega \ll \Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \text{ and } 0 < \epsilon \ll 1. \end{array}$

Detuning update

$$\Delta_{N+1} = \Delta_N - K rac{2\Omega_1\Omega_2}{\Omega_1^2 + \Omega_2^2} \cos(\omega t_N)$$

at each detected jump-time t_N . The gain K > 0 fixes the standard deviation σ_K : $\frac{16}{3}\sigma_K^2 = \epsilon K \frac{\Omega_1^2 + \Omega_2^2}{\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2}$.





Detector efficiency of 50%, wrong jump detection of 50%, feedback-loop delay of τ with $\omega \tau = \pi/4$.

Master equation of the A-system

$$rac{d}{dt}
ho = -\imath [ilde{H},
ho] + rac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^2 (2Q_j
ho Q_j^\dagger - Q_j^\dagger Q_j
ho -
ho Q_j^\dagger Q_j),$$

with jump operators $Q_j = \sqrt{\Gamma_j} |g_j\rangle \langle e|$ and Hamiltonian

$$egin{aligned} & ilde{\mathcal{H}} = rac{\Delta}{2} (\ket{g_2} ra{g_2} - \ket{g_1} ra{g_1}) + \left(\Delta_{m{e}} + rac{\Delta}{2}
ight) (\ket{g_1} ra{g_1} + \ket{g_2} ra{g_2}) \ &+ \widetilde{\Omega}_1 \ket{g_1} ra{e} + \widetilde{\Omega}_1^* \ket{e} ra{g_1} + \widetilde{\Omega}_2 \ket{g_2} ra{e} + \widetilde{\Omega}_2^* \ket{e} ra{g_2} \,. \end{aligned}$$

Since $|\tilde{\Omega}_1|, |\tilde{\Omega}_2|, |\Delta_e|, |\Delta| \ll \Gamma_1, \Gamma_2$ we have two time-scales: a fast exponential decay for $||e\rangle$ and a slow evolution for $||g_1\rangle, |g_2\rangle$.

The slow master equation

Geometric reduction via center manifold techniques⁴ leads to a reduced master equation that is still of Lindblad type with a slow Hamiltonian H and slow jump operators L_i :

$$\frac{d}{dt}\rho = -\imath[H,\rho] + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{2}(2L_{j}\rho L_{j}^{\dagger} - L_{j}^{\dagger}L_{j}\rho - \rho L_{j}^{\dagger}L_{j}),$$

with $H = \frac{\Delta}{2}\sigma_z = \frac{\Delta(|g_2\rangle\langle g_2| - |g_1\rangle\langle g_1|)}{2}$ and $L_j = \sqrt{\tilde{\gamma}_j} |g_j\rangle \langle b_{\widetilde{\Omega}}|$ and where $\tilde{\gamma}_j = 4 \frac{|\tilde{\Omega}_1|^2 + |\tilde{\Omega}_2|^2}{(\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2)^2} \Gamma_j$ and $|b_{\tilde{\Omega}}\rangle$ is the bright state:

$$ig|b_{\widetilde{\Omega}}ig
angle = rac{\widetilde{\Omega}_1}{\sqrt{|\widetilde{\Omega}_1|^2 + |\widetilde{\Omega}_2|^2}} ig|g_1ig
angle + rac{\widetilde{\Omega}_2}{\sqrt{|\widetilde{\Omega}_1|^2 + |\widetilde{\Omega}_2|^2}} ig|g_2ig
angle$$

For $\Delta = 0$, ρ converges towards the dark state $|d_{\tilde{\Omega}}\rangle \langle d_{\tilde{\Omega}}|$:

⁴M-R 2009, IEEE-AC.

The reduced density matrix ρ obeys to

$$d\rho = -i\frac{\Delta}{2}[\sigma_{z},\rho] dt + \left(\tilde{\gamma}\left\langle b_{\widetilde{\Omega}}\right|\rho\left|b_{\widetilde{\Omega}}\right\rangle\rho\right) dt -\frac{\tilde{\gamma}}{2}\left(\rho\left|b_{\widetilde{\Omega}}\right\rangle\left\langle b_{\widetilde{\Omega}}\right|+\left|b_{\widetilde{\Omega}}\right\rangle\left\langle b_{\widetilde{\Omega}}\right|\rho\right) dt +\left(\left|g_{1}\right\rangle\left\langle g_{1}\right|-\rho\right)dN_{t}^{1}+\left(\left|g_{2}\right\rangle\left\langle g_{2}\right|-\rho\right)dN_{t}^{2}$$

$$d\Delta = K \frac{2\Omega_1 \Omega_2}{\Omega_1^2 + \Omega_2^2} \cos(\omega t) (dN_t^1 + dN_t^2) + \text{saturation at } \pm \frac{\gamma}{2}$$

with

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\frac{dN_{t}^{1}}{D}\right) = \widetilde{\gamma}_{1} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\left|\boldsymbol{b}_{\widetilde{\Omega}}\right\rangle \left\langle \boldsymbol{b}_{\widetilde{\Omega}}\right|\rho\right) \, dt,$$
$$\mathbb{E}\left(\frac{dN_{t}^{2}}{D}\right) = \widetilde{\gamma}_{2} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\left|\boldsymbol{b}_{\widetilde{\Omega}}\right\rangle \left\langle \boldsymbol{b}_{\widetilde{\Omega}}\right|\rho\right) \, dt$$

and $\widetilde{\Omega}_1(t) = \Omega_1 - \imath \epsilon \Omega_2 \cos(\omega t), \ \widetilde{\Omega}_2(t) = \imath \epsilon \Omega_1 \cos(\omega t) + \Omega_2$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Claim

Take the above stochastic differential system with state ρ and Δ . Assume that the angle $\alpha = \arg(\Omega_1 + i\Omega_2)$ belongs to $]0, \frac{\pi}{2}[$. Then for sufficiently small ϵ and K, for sufficiently large ω ,

 $\lim_{N\to\infty}\mathbb{E}\left(\Delta_N\right)=0,$

and

$$\limsup_{N\to\infty}\mathbb{E}\left(\Delta_N^2\right)\leq O(\epsilon^2).$$

Corollary

One has

$$\limsup_{N o\infty} \mathbb{P}\left(|\Delta_N| > \sqrt{\epsilon}
ight) \leq \mathcal{O}(\epsilon).$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Steps of the convergence analysis

- We start by analyzing the asymptotic behavior of the no-jump dynamics. We prove that the trajectories of the no-jump dynamics converge towards a unique small limit cycle around the dark state (Poincaré Bendixon theory).
- 2 This gives the asymptotic probability distribution of the jump times which will be a periodic function of time.
- 3 We will compute the conditional evolution of the expectation value of the detuning and its square. We will see that this evolution induces a **contraction** and we have the proof.

Poincaré-Bendixon theory

There are 4 types of asymptotic behaviors for a trajectory of an ordinary differential system $\frac{d}{dt}x = v(x)$ where x belongs to \mathbb{R}^2 or $\mathbb{S}^2 \sim \mathbb{R}^2 \cup \{\infty\}$.



Take the perturbed system

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = \varepsilon f(x, t, \varepsilon)$$

with f smooth T-periodic versus t. Then exists a change of variables

$$x = z + \varepsilon w(z, t)$$

with w smooth and T-periodic versus t, such that

$$\frac{dz}{dt} = \varepsilon \overline{f}(z) + \varepsilon^2 f_1(z, t, \varepsilon)$$

where

$$\overline{f}(z) = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T f(z, t, 0) \, dt$$

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

and f_1 smooth and *T*-periodic versus *t* The average system reads: $\frac{d}{dt}z = \varepsilon \overline{f}(z)$.

- if x(t) and z(t) are, respectively, solutions of the perturbed and average systems, with initial conditions x₀ and z₀ such that ||x₀ − z₀|| = O(ε), then ||x(t) − z(t)|| = O(ε) on a time-interval of length of order 1/ε.
- If z̄ is an hyperbolic equilibrium of the average system, then exists ε̄ > 0 such that, for all ε ∈]0, ε̄], the perturbed system admits a unique hyperbolic periodic orbit γ_ε(t), close to z̄, γ_ε(t) = z̄ + O(ε), that could be reduced to a point, with a stability similar to those of z̄⁵.
- In particular, if *z̄* is asymptotically stable, then *γ*_ε is also asymptotically stable and the approximation, up to *O*(ε), of the trajectories of the perturbed system by those of the average ones is valid for *t* ∈ [0, +∞[.

⁵The number of characteristic multipliers of γ_{ε} with modulus > 1 (resp. < 1) is equal to the number of characteristic exponents of \overline{z} with real part > 0 (resp. < 0).

Quantum trajectories

In the absence of the quantum jumps, ρ evolves on the Bloch sphere according to $(\tilde{\gamma} = 4 \frac{|\tilde{\Omega}_1|^2 + |\tilde{\Omega}_2|^2}{\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2})$

$$\frac{1}{\tilde{\gamma}}\frac{d}{dt}\rho = -\imath\frac{\Delta}{2\tilde{\gamma}}[\sigma_{z},\rho] - \frac{\left|\boldsymbol{b}_{\widetilde{\Omega}}\right\rangle\left\langle\boldsymbol{b}_{\widetilde{\Omega}}\right|\rho + \rho\left|\boldsymbol{b}_{\widetilde{\Omega}}\right\rangle\left\langle\boldsymbol{b}_{\widetilde{\Omega}}\right|}{2} + \left\langle\boldsymbol{b}_{\widetilde{\Omega}}\right|\rho\left|\boldsymbol{b}_{\widetilde{\Omega}}\right\rangle\rho.$$

At each time step dt, ρ may jump towards the state $|g_1\rangle \langle g_1|$ or $|g_2\rangle \langle g_2|$ with a jump probability given by:

$$extsf{P}_{ extsf{jump}} extsf{dt} = \left(ilde{\gamma} \left< eta_{\widetilde{\Omega}} \right|
ho \left| eta_{\widetilde{\Omega}} \right>
ight) \quad extsf{dt}$$

Since $\tilde{\Omega}_1(t) = \Omega_1 - \imath \epsilon \Omega_2 \cos(\omega t)$ and $\tilde{\Omega}_2(t) = \imath \epsilon \Omega_1 \cos(\omega t) + \Omega_2$,

$$\tilde{\gamma} \left| \boldsymbol{b}_{\widetilde{\Omega}} \right\rangle \left\langle \boldsymbol{b}_{\widetilde{\Omega}} \right| = \gamma \left(\left| \boldsymbol{b} \right\rangle + \imath \epsilon \cos(\omega t) \left| \boldsymbol{d} \right\rangle \right) \left(\left\langle \boldsymbol{b} \right| - \imath \epsilon \cos(\omega t) \left\langle \boldsymbol{d} \right| \right)$$

with
$$\gamma = 4 \frac{|\Omega_1|^2 + |\Omega_2|^2}{\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2}$$
, $|\mathbf{b}\rangle = \frac{\Omega_1 |g_1\rangle + \Omega_2 |g_2\rangle}{\sqrt{\Omega_1^2 + \Omega_2^2}}$ and $|\mathbf{d}\rangle = \frac{-\Omega_2 |g_1\rangle + \Omega_1 |g_2\rangle}{\sqrt{\Omega_1^2 + \Omega_2^2}}$

Quantum trajectories in Bloch-sphere coordinates

With
$$\beta = 2 \arg(\Omega_1 + i\Omega_2) = 2\alpha$$
 and
 $\rho = \frac{1 + X(|b\rangle \langle d| + |d\rangle \langle b|) + Y(i|b\rangle \langle d| - i|d\rangle \langle b|) + Z(|d\rangle \langle d| - |b\rangle \langle b|)}{2}$:
 $\frac{d}{dt}X = -\Delta \cos \beta Y - \gamma \left(\epsilon \cos(\omega t)Y + \frac{1 - \epsilon^2 \cos^2(\omega t)}{2}Z\right)X$
 $\frac{d}{dt}Y = \Delta \cos \beta X - \Delta \sin \beta Z + \gamma \epsilon \cos(\omega t)$
 $-\gamma \left(\epsilon \cos(\omega t)Y + \frac{1 - \epsilon^2 \cos^2(\omega t)}{2}Z\right)Y$
 $\frac{d}{dt}Z = \Delta \sin \beta Y + \gamma \left(\frac{1 - \epsilon^2 \cos^2(\omega t)}{2}\right)$
 $-\gamma \left(\epsilon \cos(\omega t)Y + \frac{1 - \epsilon^2 \cos^2(\omega t)}{2}Z\right)Z$

The jump probability per unit of time is

$$P_{jump} = rac{\gamma}{2}(1-Z-2\epsilon\cos(\omega t)Y+\epsilon^2\cos^2(\omega t)(1+Z)).$$

Just after a jump (X, Y, Z) is reset to $\pm(\sin \beta_2, 0, \cos \beta)$.

Convergence of the no-jump dynamics

$$\frac{d}{dt}X = -\Delta\cos\beta Y - \gamma\left(\epsilon\cos(\omega t)Y + \frac{1-\epsilon^2\cos^2(\omega t)}{2}Z\right)X$$
$$\frac{d}{dt}Y = \Delta\cos\beta X - \Delta\sin\beta Z + \gamma\epsilon\cos(\omega t) - \gamma\left(\epsilon\cos(\omega t)Y + \frac{1-\epsilon^2\cos^2(\omega t)}{2}Z\right)Y$$
$$\frac{d}{dt}Z = \Delta\sin\beta Y + \gamma\left(\frac{1-\epsilon^2\cos^2(\omega t)}{2}\right) - \gamma\left(\epsilon\cos(\omega t)Y + \frac{1-\epsilon^2\cos^2(\omega t)}{2}Z\right)Z$$

For $|\Delta| < \frac{\gamma}{2}$ and $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$, the above time-periodic nonlinear system admits a quasi-global asymptotically stable periodic orbit (proof: Poincaré-Bendixon with $\epsilon = 0$ and averaging using $\omega \gg \gamma$). This periodic orbit reads

$$(X, Y, Z) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & , & -2\sin\beta\frac{\Delta}{\gamma} + \frac{2\gamma^2\cos(\omega t) + 4\gamma\omega\sin(\omega t)}{4\omega^2 + \gamma^2}\epsilon & , & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

up to second order terms in ϵ and $\frac{\Delta}{\gamma}$. When $\omega \gg \gamma$, $P_{jump} \approx \gamma \left(\epsilon \cos(\omega t) + \frac{\Delta \sin \beta}{\gamma}\right)^2$ if the last jump occurs more that few $-\log \epsilon/\gamma$ second(s) ago.⁶.

⁶Replace Z by $1 - \frac{\chi^2 + \gamma^2}{2}$ in previous formula giving $P_{jump} \rightarrow A \equiv A = 0$

Our analysis neglects the transient just after a jump. When a jump occurs at t_N , we have

$$\Delta_{N+1} = \Delta_N - K \sin eta \cos(\omega t_N)$$

and its probability was proportional to $\left(\epsilon \cos(\omega t_N) + \frac{\Delta_N \sin\beta}{\gamma}\right)^2$. The phase $\varphi = \omega t_N$ can be seen as a stochastic variable in $[0, 2\pi]$ with the following probability density $P_{\Delta_N}(\varphi)$ on $[0, 2\pi]$:

$$\mathcal{P}_{\Delta_{\mathsf{N}}}(arphi) = rac{\left(\epsilon \cos(arphi) + rac{\Delta_{\mathsf{N}} \sineta}{\gamma}
ight)^2}{2\pi \left(rac{\epsilon^2}{2} + rac{\Delta_{\mathsf{N}}^2 \sin^2eta}{\gamma^2}
ight)}$$

The de-tuning update is thus a discrete-time stochastic process

$$\Delta_{N+1} = \Delta_N - K \sin \beta \cos \varphi$$

・ロト・日本・日本・日本・日本

where the probability of $\varphi \in [0, 2\pi]$ depends on Δ_N .

We assume here $|\Delta| \ll \epsilon \gamma$ (remember $\gamma \ll \omega \ll \Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2$):

$$\Delta_{N+1} = \Delta_N - K \sin\beta \cos\varphi$$

with φ of probability density $P_{\Delta_N}(\varphi) \approx \frac{\cos^2 \varphi}{\pi} + 2 \frac{\Delta_N \sin \beta}{\pi \epsilon \gamma} \cos \varphi$. Simple computations yield to⁷

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\Delta_{\textit{N}+1} \; / \; \Delta_{\textit{N}}
ight) = \left(1 - rac{2 \mathit{K} \sin^2eta}{\epsilon \gamma}
ight) \Delta_{\textit{N}}$$

For $0 < K \leq \frac{\epsilon \gamma}{\sin^2 \beta}$, $E(\Delta_N)$ tends to zero. Similarly, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\Delta_{N+1}^2 \ / \ \Delta_N\right) = \left(1 - \frac{4\kappa \sin^2 \beta}{\epsilon \gamma}\right) \Delta_N^2 + \frac{3\kappa^2 \sin^2 \beta}{8}$$

For $0 < K \leq \frac{\epsilon \gamma}{2 \sin^2 \beta}$, $E(\Delta_N^2)$ converges to $\sigma_K^2 = \frac{3 \epsilon \gamma K}{32}$.

 $^{{}^{7}\}mathbb{E}(\Delta_{N+1} / \Delta_{N})$ stands for the conditional expectation-value of Δ_{N+1} knowing Δ_{N} .

Summary: scales and feedback-gain design



 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{Rabi frequency modulations:}\\ \tilde{\Omega}_{1}(t) = \Omega_{1} - \imath \epsilon \Omega_{2} \cos(\omega t) \\ \tilde{\Omega}_{2}(t) = \imath \epsilon \Omega_{1} \cos(\omega t) + \Omega_{2} \\ \text{with } \Omega_{1}, \Omega_{2} \ll \Gamma = \Gamma_{1} + \Gamma_{2}, \\ \textbf{0} < \epsilon \ll \textbf{1} \text{ and} \\ \frac{\Omega_{1}^{2} + \Omega_{2}^{2}}{\Gamma_{1} + \Gamma_{2}} = \gamma \ll \omega \ll \Gamma \end{array}$

Detuning update

 $\Delta_{N+1} = \Delta_N - K \sin \beta \cos(\omega t_N)$ with K > 0, $\beta = 2 \arg(\Omega_1 + i\Omega_2)$.

A discrete-time stochastic process where the gain K > 0 drives

• the convergence speed with a contraction of $\left(1 - \frac{2K \sin^2 \beta}{\epsilon \gamma}\right)$ for $E(\Delta_N)$ at each iteration

• the precision via the asymptotic standard deviation $\sigma_{K} = \frac{\sqrt{3\epsilon\gamma K}}{4\sqrt{2}}.$ Conservative models (Schrödinger, closed-quantum systems):

$$i\frac{d}{dt}|\psi\rangle = H|\psi\rangle, \quad \frac{d}{dt}\rho = -i[H,\rho]$$

showing that $|\psi\rangle_t = U_t |\psi\rangle_0$ and $\rho_t = U_t \rho_0 U_t^{\dagger}$ with propagator U_t defined by $i\frac{d}{dt}U = HU$, $U_0 = \mathbf{1}$.

- $H = H_0 + \sum_k u_k H_k$: controllability (Lie algebra in finite dimension, importance of the spectrum in infinite dimension, Law-Eberly method), optimal control (minimum time in finite dimension only).
- Widely used motion planing based on two approximations: RWA; adiabatic invariance (robustness).
- Non commutative calculus with operators (Bra, Ket and Dirac notations).
- Key issues attached to composite systems (tensor product). Two classes of important subsystems: finite-dimensional ones (2-level, Bloch sphere, Pauli matrices); infinite dimensional ones (harmonic oscillator, annihilation operator).

Discrete-time models are Markov chains

$$\rho_{k+1} = \frac{1}{p_{\nu}(\rho_k)} M_{\nu} \rho_k M_{\nu}^{\dagger} \quad \text{with proba.} \quad p_{\nu}(\rho_k) = \text{Tr} \left(M_{\nu} \rho_k M_{\nu}^{\dagger} \right)$$

associated to Kraus maps (ensemble average, open-quantum channel maps)

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\rho_{k+1}/\rho_{k}\right) = \mathcal{K}(\rho_{k}) = \sum_{\nu} M_{\nu} \rho_{k} M_{\nu}^{\dagger} \quad \text{with} \quad \sum_{\nu} M_{\nu}^{\dagger} M_{\nu} = \mathbf{1}$$

Continuous-time models are stochastic differential systems

$$d\rho = -i[H,\rho]dt + \sum_{\nu} \operatorname{Tr} \left(L_{\nu}\rho L_{\nu}^{\dagger} \right)\rho dt - \frac{1}{2} \left(L_{\nu}^{\dagger}L_{\nu}\rho + \rho L_{\nu}^{\dagger}L_{\nu} \right)dt + \left(\frac{L_{\nu}\rho L_{\nu}^{\dagger}}{\operatorname{Tr} \left(L_{\nu}\rho L_{\nu}^{\dagger} \right)} - \rho \right) dN_{t}^{\nu}$$

driven by Poisson processes dN_t^{ν} with $\mathbb{E}(dN_t^{\nu}) = \text{Tr}(L_{\nu}\rho L_{\nu}^{\dagger})dt$ (possible approximations by Wiener processes) and associated to Lindbald master equations:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\rho = -i[H,\rho] + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\nu} \left(2L_{\nu}\rho L_{\nu}^{\dagger} - L_{\nu}^{\dagger}L_{\nu}\rho - \rho L_{\nu}^{\dagger}L_{\nu}\right),$$

Dissipative models for open-quantum systems (2)

Ensemble and average dynamics (Kraus maps (discrete-time) or Lindbald equations (continuous-time)):

- Stability induces by contraction (nuclear norm or fidelity).
- Decoherence free spaces: Ω-limits are affine spaces; they can be reduced to a point (pointer-states); design of M_ν and L_ν to achieve convergence towards prescribed affine spaces (reservoir engineering, QND measurements, ...). Lindbald partial differential equation for the density operator ρ(x, y), (x, y) ∈ ℝ²,



where $\mathbb{D}[L](\rho) = \left(L\rho L^{\dagger} - \frac{L^{\dagger}L\rho + \rho L^{\dagger}L}{2}\right)$. It describes a quantized field trapped inside a finite fitness cavity (decay time $1/\gamma$), subject to a coherent excitation of amplitude $u \in \mathbb{C}$ and an incoherent coupling to a thermal field with $n_{th} \ge 0$ average photons.

Markov chain (discrete-time) or SDE (continuous time):

- Quantum filters provides ρ̂, a real-time estimation of the state ρ based on measurements outcomes (in the ideal case F(ρ, ρ̂) is sub-martingale).
- Feedback stabilization towards a goal pure state $\bar{\rho}$: $u(\rho)$ based on Lyapunov function Tr $(\bar{\rho}, \rho) = F(\bar{\rho}, \rho)$.
- Quantum separation principle always works for $u(\hat{\rho})$ in case of global convergence with feedback $u(\rho)$.

Coherent feedback scheme: the controller is also a quantum system (not a classical one as above).